CANCER Tomato/Tomato-based foods and Disease Risk

Breast Cancer- main findings

Data support a neutral relationship between the intake of processed tomatoes and breast cancer risk.

Summary of studies and outcomes

- Number of studies = 7
- Risk estimates (RE) = 7
 - o (-) = 2
 - \circ N = 5
- Risk estimates by Tomato or Lycopene category
 - o \sqrt{GT} G. Tom = 2 (-)
 - o √PT P. Tom = 5 (N) o √FT F. Tom = 0 o √Lyco Lyco = 0

Table: Relationship between Tomato/Tomato-based foods and Risk for Breast Cancer

Study Type	N= studies		ASS	GATI OCIAT rotectiv	TION			ASS (no	OCIA assoc or be	TION iated	POSTIVE ASSOCIATION (risk factor)					
Breast		Sample size, n=						Sam	ple si	ze, n=	Sample size, n=					
		≤100	101-200	201-500	501-1000	≥1000	≤100	101-200	201-500	501-1000	≥1000	≤100	101-200	201-500	501-1000	≥1000
RCT	0															
Interv	1						√pT									
PC	4					$\sqrt{\rm _{GT}}$			√pT √pT		√ _{PT}					
СС	2			√дт							√ _{PT}					
Cross Sec	0		3					Ĭ					Ž.	3		
Eco	0															

Colorectal Cancer- main findings

• Data are mixed and inconclusive

- Number of studies = 2
- Risk estimates (RE) = 2

- Risk estimates by Tomato or Lycopene category
 - o \sqrt{GT} G. Tom = 1 (-)
 - o \sqrt{PT} P. Tom = 1 N
 - o \sqrt{FT} F. Tom = 0
 - o $\sqrt{\text{Lyco Lyco}} = 0$

Table: Relationship between Tomato/Tomato-based foods and Risk for Colorectal Cancer

Study Type	N= studies		ASS	GATIV OCIAT	TION			ASS (no	OCIA assoc or bei	TION iated	POSTIVE ASSOCIATION (risk factor)						
Colorectal			Samp	ole siz	e, n=			Sam	ple si	ze, n=		Sample size, n=					
		≤100	101-200	201-500	501-1000	≥1000	≤100	101-200	201-500	501-1000	≥1000	≤100	101-200	201-500	501-1000	≥1000	
RCT	1	√ _{PT}	3			8 0		y					5%	3	9		
Interv	0																
PC	0																
СС	1										√gт						
Cross Sec	0														20		
Eco	0																

Gastric Cancer- main findings

• Data support a protective effect of eating tomatoes and reduced risk of gastric cancer.

- Number of studies = 4
- Risk estimates (RE) = 7

- Risk estimates by Tomato or Lycopene category
 - o \sqrt{GT} G. Tom = 3 (-)
 - o \sqrt{PT} P. Tom = 1 (-)
 - o \sqrt{FT} F. Tom = 2 (-)
 - o $\sqrt{\text{Lyco Lyco}} = 1$ (-)

Table: Relationship between Tomato/Tomato-based foods and Risk for Gastric Cancer

Type studi	N= studies		ASS	GATI OCIA rotecti	TION	1		ASS (no		ATION ciated	POSTIVE ASSOCIATION (risk factor)						
Gastric	8	Sample size, n=						Sam	ple s	ze, n=		Sample size, n=					
		≤100	101-200	201-500	501-1000	≥1000	≤100	101-200	201-500	501-1000	≥1000	≤100	101-200	201-500	501-1000	≥1000	
RCT	0																
Interv	0				9		9	9	,			3				3	
PC	0				9			y				(9				3	
СС	4			VGT VGT VGT VPT VFT VLVC	√FT												
Cross Sec	0			1270													
Eco	0				9			Ý								9	

^{*} More than 1 risk estimate may be derived from a study within a study type.

Lung Cancer- main findings

• Data support a protective relationship between the intake of tomato-based foods and lung cancer risk.

- Number of studies = 6
- Risk estimates (RE) = 6
 - o (-) = 6
- Risk estimates by Tomato or Lycopene category
 - o \sqrt{GT} G. Tom = 5 (-)
 - o \sqrt{PT} P. Tom = 1 (-)
 - \sqrt{FT} F. Tom = 0
 - o $\sqrt{\text{Lyco Lyco}} = 0$

Table: Relationship between Plasma/serum Lycopene and Risk for Lung Cancer

Study Type	N= studies	NEGATIVE ASSOCIATION (protective) Sample size, n=						ASS (no	asso	RAL ATION ciated enefit)		POSTIVE ASSOCIATION (risk factor) Sample size, n=					
Lung	Ĭ							Sam	ple s	ize, n							
		≤1 0 0	101-200	201-500	501-1000	≥1000	≤100	101-200	201-500	501-1000	≥1000	≤100	101-200	201-500	501-1000	≥1000	
RCT	0																
Interv	0															7.	
PC	0																
СС	5	٧						1	111								
Cross Sec	0				8	8 3											
Eco	0																

[√] Relationship between serum lycopene concentrations and lung cancer.

Ovarian Cancer- main findings

• Data support a neutral relationship between tomato intake and ovarian cancer risk.

- Number of studies = 2
- Risk estimates (RE) = 2
 - o (-) = 1
 - o N = 1
- Risk estimates by Tomato or Lycopene category
 - o \sqrt{GT} G. Tom = 1 (-)
 - o \sqrt{PT} P. Tom = 1 (N)
 - \sqrt{FT} F. Tom = 0
 - o $\sqrt{\text{Lyco Lyco}} = 0$

Table: Relationship between Tomato/Tomato-based foods and Risk for Ovarian Cancer

Study Type	studies ASSOCIATION (protective)							ASS (no	asso	RAL ATION ciated enefit)	POSTIVE ASSOCIATION (risk factor)						
Ovarian		Sample size, n=						Sam	ple s	ize, n	Sample size, n=						
		≤100	101-200	201-500	501-1000	≥1000	≤100	101-200	201-500	501-1000	≥1000	≤100	101-200	201-500	501-1000	≥ <u>1</u> 000	
RCT	0																
Interv	0			63 G													
PC	1	√ _{GT}															
СС	1		Sy.	3 8							√ _{PT}						
Cross Sec	0																
Eco	0																

^{*} More than 1 risk estimate may be derived from a study within a study type.

Pancreatic Cancer- main findings

Data suggest a potential protection between the intake of tomatoes and pancreatic cancer risk. The data are limited.

- Number of studies = 2
- Risk estimates (RE) = 2
 - o (-) = 2
- Risk estimates by Tomato or Lycopene category
 - o \sqrt{GT} G. Tom = 2 (-)
 - $\sqrt{PT P. Tom} = 0$
 - o \sqrt{FT} F. Tom = 0
 - o √Lyco Lyco = 0

Table: Relationship between Tomato/Tomato-based foods and Risk for Pancreatic Cancer

Study Type	N= studies		ASS	GAT OCIA rotect	TION	0) Fa		ASS (no	asso	RAL ATION ciated enefit)	POSTIVE ASSOCIATION (risk factor)							
Pancreatic			Sam	ple si	ze, n=			Sam	ple s	ize, n		Sample size, n=						
		≤100	101-200	201-500	501-1000	≥1000	≤100	101-200	201-500	501-1000	≥1000	≤100	101-200	201-500	501-1000	≥1000		
RCT	0																	
Interv	0																	
PC	0																	
СС	2		√ _{GT}	√ _{GT}			3											
Cross Sec	0																	
Eco	0															F.		

Prostate Cancer- main findings

- Data support a protective relationship between the intake of tomato-based foods and prostate cancer risk.
- The data also suggest that processed tomato intake may be the most beneficial in this protection compared to alternative categories of tomato intake (general or fresh).

- Number of studies = 27
- Risk estimates (RE) = 35
 - o (-) = 24
 - o N = 9
 - o (+) = 2
- Risk estimates by Tomato or Lycopene category
 - \circ \sqrt{GI} G. Tom = 9 (-), 5 (N), 1 (+)
 - o \sqrt{PT} P. Tom = 10 (-), 3 (N)
 - o \sqrt{FT} F. Tom = 1 (-), 0 (N), 1 (+)
 - o $\sqrt{\text{Lyco Lyco}} = 4$ (-), 1 (N)

Table: Relationship between Tomato/Tomato-based foods and Risk for Prostate Cancer

Study Type	N= studies		ASS	GATI OCIAT otectiv	TION			ASS (no	OCIA associ or ber	TION ated	POSTIVE ASSOCIATION (risk factor) Sample size, n=					
Prostate		e) e)	Samp	le siz	e, n=			Samp	ole siz	ze, n						
		≤100	101-200	201-500	501- 1000	≥1000	≤100	101-200	201-500	501- 1000	≥1000	≤100	101-200	201-500	501-1000	≥1000
RCT	3	√ _{PT} √ _{GT}					√ _{PT}									
Interv	3	V _{PT} V _{PT}														
PC	7		√ _{GT}		V _{GT} . V _{PT} . V _{Lyc} .	VpT √pT~ VLyc^ √pT^		√ _{PT}			√ _{GT#} √ _{Lyo#}					√ _{FT~}
СС	13		VGT VGT VPT VLyc	V _{GT} V _{PT} V _{Lyc} V _{FT}	√ _{GT} √ _{GT}			V_{GT}		√ _{GT}	√GT √GT √PT				√gt	
Cross Sec	0												8 8			
Eco	1					√ _{GT}										

^{*,^, ~, #,} etc. RE with same symbol indicate same study.

Renal Cancer- main findings

• 1 CC study (n=335 cases, 1:1); (-) / protective findings

Urothelial Cancer- main findings

- Data support a neutral relationship between the intake of tomatoes and urothelial cancer risk.
- 1 PC study; Neutral findings

- Number of studies = 1
- Risk estimates (RE) = 1
 - \circ N = 1
- Risk estimates by Tomato or Lycopene category
 - o \sqrt{GT} G. Tom = 1 (N)
 - $\sqrt{PT P. Tom} = 0$

 - o √Lyco Lyco = 0